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INTRODUCTION

In this submission, prepared for the Universal Periodic Review of Denmark in May 2011, Amnesty International focuses on human rights violations and concerns in the context of counter-terrorism, forced returns of rejected Iraqi asylum seekers, detention of asylum seekers and migrants, and violence against women.

HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF COUNTER-TERRORISM

Amnesty International is concerned that counter-terrorism measures in Danish legislation have given rise to violations of the rights to effective remedy, fair proceedings, and privacy.

DIPLOMATIC ASSURANCES

The Danish government’s position is that it will not rule out the possibility of deporting individuals suspected of terrorism-related activity to states on the basis of diplomatic assurances, despite the real risk of torture and ill-treatment they would face upon being returned.¹ Amnesty International considers that unenforceable diplomatic assurances cannot reliably, effectively and sufficiently mitigate the risk of torture and ill-treatment of the individual upon return and that they undermine the integrity of the prohibition of torture.²

ACCESS TO FAIR REMOVAL AND EXTRADITION PROCEEDINGS

In 2009, the Danish Parliament passed a bill amending the Aliens Act³ to introduce court review of cases concerning expulsion and deportation of foreign nationals suspected of involvement in terrorism-related activities.⁴ However, these new provisions also allow for the use of secret evidence in cases where the authorities wish to expel or deport foreign nationals on “national security grounds”. Such material would not be disclosed to the individual concerned or their lawyer of choice. When the authorities wish to expel or deport foreign nationals on “national security grounds”, based on such secret material, the court appoints a lawyer from a pre-approved list of security-cleared lawyers to act for the individual concerned. That lawyer has access to the secret material during the closed hearings, but is barred from discussing it with the individual concerned or his or her lawyer of choice. Consequently, the individual is unable to comment on or effectively challenge the secret material or the allegations based on it. Amnesty International is concerned that these measures are incompatible with Denmark’s obligation to provide due process and equality of arms and that they deny the individual the right to a fair procedure.

WEAKENED LEGAL SAFEGUARDS FOR THE PROTECTION OF PRIVACY

Amnesty International is concerned that a series of bills have been passed which weaken legal safeguards aimed at protecting the right to privacy.⁵ The organization considers that these measures disproportionately restrict the right of individuals to privacy and erode judicial protection of this and other rights, including the right to a
remedy for violations.

In 2006, an amendment to the Act on Administration of Justice and other laws weakened independent judicial oversight of police access to private and confidential information. Of particular concern is the amendment to allow for the tapping of telephones and computers of an unlimited number of individuals (relatives, acquaintances, colleagues, neighbours) who are not under suspicion, but who are in some way connected to an individual under investigation for involvement in terrorism-related activities, drug-related crimes or homicide, on the basis of a single warrant, i.e. the warrant pertaining to the individual under investigation. The grounds for this are simply that it cannot be ruled out that the individual under investigation could have used the phone or computer of a relative or acquaintance to communicate information related to terrorism.

In 2009, the Parliament expanded the application of these provisions to 11 other criminal offences. In addition, the amendment granted the Police Intelligence Agency the power to compel any public authority, doctor, psychiatrist or other individual to hand over confidential and private information pertaining to individuals under investigation without judicial oversight or control. The police are only required to indicate that the information requested may have a bearing on their efforts to prevent crimes against the state. No remedy, judicial or otherwise, is available to contest the demand for disclosure of confidential information on an individual.

ASYLUM-SEEKERS, REFUGEES AND MIGRANTS

Amnesty International is concerned that various amendments to the Danish Aliens Act have restricted the rights of refugees and migrants and that the Danish State no longer takes the advices of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) into account.

EXPULSIONS TO IRAQ

Denmark continues to forcibly return individuals to Iraq, including to central and southern Iraq, against the advice of (UNHCR), and at the risk of violating the prohibition of refoulement. In May 2009, the Danish authorities reached an agreement with Iraq to return asylum-seekers whose claims had been turned down. Since then, at least 66 Iraqi asylum-seekers have been forcibly removed from Denmark, including to central and southern Iraq. In September 2010, UNHCR reported that among a group of about 61 Iraqis who were returned on 1 September 2010 on a joint chartered flight from Sweden, Denmark, Norway and the United Kingdom, several were from particularly dangerous provinces, including Baghdad and Mosul.

IMMIGRATION DETENTION OF MIGRANTS AND ASYLUM-SEEKERS

Under Danish law, irregular migrants and asylum-seekers can be detained, including to ensure their presence while their claims are being considered by the
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In his report on Denmark in 2009, the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture raised concern that this procedure may not be effective in practise, pointing to the fact that since 2004 on only two occasions did the court not confirm the extension of the period of detention requested by the police. He further emphasized that ‘deprivation of liberty for administrative reasons for a prolonged period without knowing the length of the detention may amount to inhuman and degrading treatment’.

VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN

Amnesty International is concerned that the rights to legal protection and non-discrimination are not guaranteed for victims of rape due to flaws in both legislation and practice. Furthermore, victims of trafficking are not offered adequate protection in Denmark.

LACK OF PROTECTION OF VICTIMS OF RAPE

Amnesty International considers that inadequate laws and failure to effectively investigate and bring to justice perpetrators of rape and other forms of sexual abuse of women indicate that Denmark does not fully comply with its responsibility to protect women from gender-based violence.

International human rights law requires that criminal law recognises the absence of genuine consent, rather than the use of physical force as the essential element of rape; however, the Danish Penal Code does not comply with these standards. Danish legislation penalises non-consensual sex with a victim in a “helpless state” as sexual abuse rather than rape and sets a much lighter penalty for such acts. Furthermore, the Penal Code reduces the level of penalty or provides for exclusion of punishment altogether for rape and sexual violence within marriage in certain instances (Article 218, 220, 221, 227). For example, non-consensual sex with a “helpless” victim is not considered a crime if the victim and the perpetrator are married (Article 218), and if the perpetrator enters into or continues a marriage with his victim the punishment for rape can be reduced or remitted (Article 227). Such provisions are inconsistent with international human rights standards that require that all victims are equally protected and do not recognise an exception for marital rape.

In Denmark around 500 cases of rape are reported annually to the police. The number of rapes actually committed is not known, but studies suggest that only a minority of such incidents are reported. Research by Amnesty International and others indicates that survivors of sexual violence believe that there is only a slim chance that reporting the assault to the police will result in criminal proceedings against the perpetrator. Only around one in five reported rape cases results in a conviction; the majority of cases are closed by the police or the prosecution and
never reaches the courts. The majority of cases where an alleged perpetrator has been identified are closed due to the ‘state of the evidence’, including in cases where forensic evidence indicates that the victim had sustained physical injury.\textsuperscript{19}

**TRAFFICKING**

Amnesty International is concerned that victims of trafficking are treated primarily as illegal migrants, rather than as victims of a serious crime. Policies and practice emphasize return of the victims to their home countries rather than ensuring redress and protection. Only those victims who agree to cooperate with the authorities on their voluntary return are offered a 100-day ‘reflection period’ in Denmark before returning to their country of origin, while others are offered only 30 days. Only a small minority of victims are offered protection in Denmark.\textsuperscript{20}

### RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION BY THE STATE UNDER REVIEW

Amnesty International calls on the government of Denmark:

**Counter-terrorism:**
- To stop requesting or agreeing diplomatic assurances in cases where the individual concerned would face a real risk of serious human rights abuses if returned;
- To ensure respect for the right to privacy, including by strengthening judicial oversight of requests to intercept electronic or telephonic communications.

**Rights of refugees, migrants and asylum-seekers:**
- To stop involuntary or forced removals of individuals to the provinces of Ninewa (Mosul), Kirkuk, Salah al-Din, Diyala and Baghdad in Iraq, and other particularly dangerous areas, such as parts of al Anbar province, due to the real risk they may face of persecution or serious harm;
- To ensure that detention of refugees, migrants and asylum-seekers is a last resort, including by giving full consideration to alternatives to detention.

**Violence against women:**
- To amend the Penal Code to ensure that the definition of rape is in line with international standards and to ensure equal protection for all rape victims. Non-consensual sex with a victim in a “helpless state” should be considered rape in the Penal Code;
- To delete from the provisions of the Penal Code covering rape and sexual abuse (Article 218, 220, 221, 227) any reference to the marital relations between the victim and the alleged perpetrator, thus ensuring that the marriage of the perpetrator and the victim is not a mitigating factor in sentencing or leads to impunity for rape and sexual abuse;
- To establish an independent monitoring mechanism to systematically analyze all rape investigations that are closed before coming to trial, and to report publicly on the reasons for closing the cases;
To develop and adopt a comprehensive action plan to prevent and combat rape and other forms of sexual violence;

To ensure that all victims of trafficking are offered a 100-day ‘reflection period’ regardless of any agreement to cooperate on return to the individual’s country of origin;

To provide additional protection to victims of trafficking who face a risk of further human rights abuse, include re-trafficking, if returned.

International human rights standards:

To sign, ratify and implement the International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families; the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights; the Optional Protocol to the International Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities; and the International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearances.

ENDNOTES

1 See explanatory comments to the Bill L 209 of 28 April 2009, which introduced certain amendments to the Aliens Act. The Danish government recently negotiated assurances with India in relation to the extradition of a Danish National, Niels Holck, to India. However, on 1 November 2010, a local Danish court ruled that the assurances did not offer sufficient protection against the risk of torture and other ill-treatment, and that the extradition should not proceed. The decision was appealed by the public prosecution and the case is still pending.


3 Bill of L 209 of 28 April 2009 amending the Aliens Act and the Act on Court Fees, which was adopted as Act no. 487 of 12 June 2009.

4 The new system replaced a regime that was exclusively administrative and did not provide the individual suspected of terrorism-related activity with any means to effectively appeal against the decision as such. In that regard the new system represents an improvement; however, it remains flawed.

5 L 217 of 31 March 2006 on amendments of the Penal Code, the Act on Administration of Justice and various other laws, L 124 of 4 February 2009 on amendment of the Act on Administration of Justice.

6 L 124 of 4 February 2009 on amendment of the Act on Administration of Justice, L 211 of 29 April 2009 on amendment of the Penal Code, the Act on Administration of Justice, Act on Arms, Act on policing, Act on Imprisonment, Act on Public Administration, cf. section 783, sub-section 2.

7 The UNHCR has repeatedly reiterated the ongoing validity of its Iraq eligibility guidelines, including as recently as September 2010, calling on states not to forcibly remove anyone to the provinces of Ninewa (Mosul), Kirkuk, Salah al-Din, Diyala and Baghdad (Iraq’s five central governorates). See UNHCR, UNHCR Eligibility Guidelines for Assessing the International Protection Needs of Iraqi Asylum-Seekers, 1 April 2009,


10 The Danish Ministry of Refugees, Immigration and Integration presented a bill in November 2010 to amend the Danish Aliens Act and to incorporate the EU returns directive into Danish legislation. No amendment has yet been made to the Danish Aliens Act. An amendment would mean that the maximum length of detention would be six months with the possibility to extend the period up to 18 months.

11 The Danish Aliens Act, § 36-37.

12 Report of the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. Mission to Denmark. 18 February 2009. A/HRC/10/44/Add. 2.

13 Ibid.


15 “Helpless state” refers to victims who are unable to defend themselves because of e.g. illness, self-imposed intoxication, disability, paralysis etc. and are thereby less protected in law.


17 Criminal statistics (‘Kriminalitet’) from ‘Statistics Denmark’, http://www.dst.dk/
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